



IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/564,788

Applicant : Gerd HUMMEL et al

Filed : January 17, 2006

TC/A.U. : 164-6

Examiner :

Docket No. : 2918-111

Customer No.: 6449

Confirmation No.: 3658

**SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is a copy of the translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

In the event that any fees are due with this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Robert B. Murray  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 22,980  
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, p.c.  
Suite 800, 1425 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202)783-6040

RBM/cb

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

**PCT**

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL  
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION  
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT  
ON PATENTABILITY  
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II  
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)  
(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

To:

BOHMANN, Armin, K.  
Bohm & Loosen  
Sonnenstrasse 8  
80331 München  
ALLEMAGNE

**BOHMANN & LOOSEN**

28. Juni 2006

Eing.

Frist

Erl.

Date of mailing (day/month/year)  
22 June 2006 (22.06.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
J 10020 PCT

**IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION**

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057

International filing date (day/month/year)  
19 July 2004 (19.07.2004)

Applicant

JERINI AG et al

**1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.**

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).



The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

**2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.**

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

**3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).**

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

**It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.**

The International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 70

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY  
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>J 10020 PCT</b>	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. <b>PCT/EP2004/008057</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>19.07.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>17.07.2003</b>	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC <b>C07K7/00, C07K7/06</b>			
Applicant <b>JERINI AG</b>			

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <b>11</b> sheets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:
a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ( <i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i> ) a total of <b>40</b> sheets, as follows: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). <input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.
b. <input type="checkbox"/> ( <i>sent to the International Bureau only</i> ) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Faxsimile No.	Telephone No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008057

## Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

- This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_ which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
  - publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
  - international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):

- the international application as originally filed/furnished  
 the description:

pages 1-115 as originally filed/furnished

pages\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

pages\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

- the claims:

nos. \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished

nos.\* \_\_\_\_\_ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  
11.11.2005 with letter

nos.\* 1-61 received by this Authority on of 11.11.2005

nos.\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

- the drawings:

sheets \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished

sheets\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

sheets\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

- a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3.  The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_
- the claims, nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_
- the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

4.  This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

- the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_
- the claims, nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_
- the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

\* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008057

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

 the entire international application claims Nos. 20-23

because:

 the said international application, or the said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*): the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_  
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*): the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported  
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 20-23 the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

 has not been furnished does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

 has not been furnished does not comply with the standard the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. See Supplemental Box for further details.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057

Box No. V	<u>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</u>		
<b>1. Statement</b>			
Novelty (N)	Claims	19, 43-61	YES
	Claims	1-18, 24-42	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	19, 43-61	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-19, 44-61	YES
	Claims		NO
<b>2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)</b>			
Reference is made to the following documents:			
D1:	MARCH DARREN R ET AL: "Potent cyclic antagonists of the complement C5a receptor on human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Relationships between structures and activity" MOLECULAR PHARMACOLOGY, Vol. 65, No. 4, 1 April 2004 (2004-04-01), pages 868-879, XP002315628 ISSN: 0026-895X		
D2:	WO 2004/035079 A1 (THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, SHIELS, IAN, ALEXANDER; TAYLOR, STEVEN) 29 April 2004 (2004-04-29)		
D3:	WO 90/09162 A (ABBOTT LAB) 23 August 1990 (1990-08-23)		
D4:	WO 92/12168 A (ABBOTT LAB) 23 July 1992 (1992-07-23)		
D5:	WO 99/00406 A (FAIRLIE DAVID; UNIV QUEENSLAND (AU); WONG ALLAN (AU); FINCH ANGELA) 7 January 1999 (1999-01-07)		
D6:	FINCH ET AL: "Low-Molecular-Weight Peptidic and Cyclic Antagonists of the Receptor for the Complement Factor C5a" JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY. WASHINGTON, US, Vol. 42, No. 11, 3 June 1999 (1999-06-03)		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement
	pages 1965-1974, XP002137173 ISSN: 0022-2623
D7:	WO 03/033528 A (TAYLOR STEVE; UNIV QUEENSLAND (AU); SHIELS IAN ALEXANDER (AU)) 24 April 2003 (2003-04-24)
D8:	WONG A K ET AL: "Small molecular probes for G-protein-coupled C5a receptors: conformationally constrained antagonists derived from the C terminus of the human plasma protein C5a" JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, WASHINGTON, US, Vol. 41, No. 18, 27 August 1998 (1998-08-27), pages 3417-3425, XP002200381 ISSN: 0022-2623
D9:	DEMARTINO JULIE A ET AL: "Arginine 206 of the C5a receptor is critical for ligand recognition and receptor activation by C-terminal hexapeptide analogs" JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, Vol. 270, No. 27, 1995, pages 15966-15969, XP002272328 ISSN: 0021-9258
D10:	WO 03/085448 A (KIM BONG-JU; TAE SEUNG-GYU (KR); KIM HYUN-YOUNG (KR); YOON JOO-SUN) 16 October 2003 (2003-10-16).
D1:	Antagonist derivatives of C5a receptor, having mainly C-terminal arginine, but also a C-terminal replacement by tyrosine (applicant analyses in the present application show that this peptide would have an IC <sub>50</sub> value of 0.17 uM whereas the corresponding peptide in the present application would have an IC <sub>50</sub> of 1.3 uM)
D2:	Antagonist derivatives of anaphylotoxin (=C5a) receptor ligand, having mainly C-terminal arginine, but also a C-terminal replacement by

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

phenylbutanoyl (applicant analyses in the present application show that this peptide would have an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 2.6 uM)

D3: Antagonist derivatives of C5a receptor having C-terminal Arg

D4: Antagonist derivatives of C5a receptor. Arg replaced by I-Arg, hArg, K and Cit or L-canavagine. No D- or L-lysine, D- or L-homolysine, or glycine. Size of the substituent at this position is important for high receptor affinity. The citrulline compound has no charged side chain, yet still possesses appreciable antagonist potency compared to arginine at this position.

D5: Cyclic peptide-antagonist of C5a receptor, having a C-terminal arginine, cyclized by backbone to backbone cyclization, no increase in receptor affinity and antagonist potency; AcF[OPdChaWR] with IC<sub>50</sub> = 20uM against a max. conc. of C5a (100 uM) on intact human PMN.

D6: C5a receptor antagonists being conformationally constrained and derived from the C-terminus of the human plasma protein C5a

D7: Whole C5a receptor: Arg 206 requires receptor activation by hexapeptides and hexapeptide C-terminal arginine is required for receptor activation. However, as there are also des-arg C5a receptors the situation might be different.

1. The amendments to claims 18 and 42, submitted with the new claims, now satisfy PCT Article 19, since they were restricted to an IC<sub>50</sub> value of less than

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement
<p>200 uM.</p> <p>2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-18 and 24-42, and of subjects dependent thereon is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2) since the peptides disclosed in the prior art would appear to be encompassed by, for example, the general formulation "mimics the biological properties of the tryptophan units", etc.</p> <p>3. The applicant should further note that the search was directed only to those parts of the claims which can be considered clear and concise, that is to say, the peptides of claim 44, the cyclic C5a receptor antagonists in claim 19, the linear C5a receptor antagonists in claim 43 and the content of claims 45-61, which are dependent on the above claims.</p> <p>The search carried out in respect of the generalizations in the main claims 1-18 and 24-42, which relate to a disproportionately large number of possible linear and cyclic peptides, was incomplete. The general formulas <math>x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4-x_5-x_6-x_7-x_8</math>, the Y definition (for example claim 35), the possible presence of bonds which are not ionic/covalent (but coordinative), and the substitution of amino acids with - <math>\text{CH}_2(\text{aryl/heteroaryl})</math> of unknown size, include virtually all possible substitution and mimicry</p>	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement**

possibilities as well as their derivatives and analogues, partly linked to functionally desirable functions (... mimics the biological properties of a tryptophan unit), in such a way that they appear unclear or worded too generally within the meaning of EPC Article 84 to such an extent as to make a meaningful search impossible. No search could be carried out either in respect of the atom distances in the substance claims (20-23).

4. The novelty of claims 19, 43 and 44, and claims 45-61, which are dependent thereon, must likewise be recognized.
5. The present application satisfies the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 19 and 43-61 involves an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3).

For the purpose of the assessment with regard to the inventive step of the subject matter of the application, which concerns cyclic and linear derivatives of peptide antagonists of the C5a receptor having a C-terminal arginine exchange in (des-Arg), by X<sub>6</sub>=Trp, Phe, Tyr, His, 1-naphylalanine, benzothienylalanyl, 2-aminoindane-2-carboxylic acid, 2-thienylalanine, 3-thienylalanine, 3-thienylalanine, 2-fluoro-phenylalanine, 4-fluoro-phenylalanine, 2-chlorophenylalanine, 3-chlorophenylalanine, 4-chlorophenylalanine, it must be assumed that a person skilled in the field of C5a receptors

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/008057

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability:  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

searching for further effective C5a antagonists and taking into consideration document D7 (in particular page 44, lines 28 ff., citrulline), which can be considered the closest prior art, would assume that in the case of the known D-Arg derivatives of the C5a receptor antagonists of the prior art (for example D5) D-Arg can be replaced with I-Arg, hArg, K, Cit or L-Canavinine. The applicant's attention is further drawn to the fact that non D- or L-lysine, D- or L-homolysine or glycine derivatives are possible. Although the size of the substituents in this position and the receptor affinity thereof are likely to play a role, document D7 offers nothing to suggest that a hydrophobic side chain should be found (see definitions for substituent F in claims 19 and 43).

With the above as point of departure, although citrulline has considerable antagonist potency it suggests the use of other amino acids, for example aromatic/heterocyclic amino acid without a charged side chain, such as tryptophan, phenylalanine, histidine, etc.

Furthermore, documents D6, D8 and D9 demonstrate that, owing to the novel type II beta-turn formations disclosed therein, Trp and Phe must likewise be considered key amino acids for the receptor binding, in addition to, for example, p-Cha and D-Arg (document D8, page 3423, left-hand column). In the light of the overlapping

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008057

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

activities (see also the analysis on pages 4-7) with respect to the prior art, the application clearly shows how specific the selected peptide antagonists are.

The chosen combination of the definitions under F and the IC<sub>50</sub> of less than 200 uM must not be considered an obvious selection.

A generalization going beyond the definition of F must, however, always be considered speculative.

6. In the light of possible further new substances encompassed by claims 1-18 and 24-42, which at present are not considered novel, the applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that these possible new substances do not necessarily benefit from a possible inventive step of the compounds of claims 19 and 43-61 (PCT Article 33(1)) since the generalizations do not necessarily fully apply to the broad, general formulas. There is justified doubt as to whether a representative number of peptides encompassed by these broad claims does indeed have the desired antagonistic C5a receptor activity. Even if a suitable test was available, it would still be unreasonably difficult for a person skilled in the art to determine whether this is the case for the claimed possible number of compounds. Doing so would be alike to carrying out a research program without clear instructions as to which of the vast number of possible structural modifications in the peptide area the

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008057

Box No. V	<b>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b>
-----------	--

desired antagonistic activity should bring about  
or delimit further.

In the light of the requirements of PCT Article 5  
and 6 it should likewise be taken into  
consideration that the number of possible peptides  
encompassed by one of the general claims should be  
reasonable. The situation may never arise in which  
it is not clear to a person skilled in the art  
reading the claims which peptides are encompassed  
by the claims and which are not.